

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPAREMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Whitesa CAMMESI (2012) FEATURE SAME TRADEMARKS
Washington (See 2012) I
www.trpf.cg/s.

APPLICATION NO LITTING DATE		URSUNAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKLENO	CONTIRMATION NO	
09 750,896	12 28 2000	Jerome Francis Maxwell	199-1244	2744	
٦	590 03 14 2003				
Thomas E. Donohue Artz & Artz, PC 28333 Telegraph Road Suite 250			FXAMINER		
			TON, ANABEL		
Southfield, MI 48034			ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2875		
			DA1E MAILED: 03-14-2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,					. /		
		Application No.		pplicant(s)	5-2		
		09/750,896	1	MAXWELL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner		Art Unit	-		
		Anabel M Ton	:	2875			
The MAILING DAT	E of this communication a	appears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence addre	!SS		
THE MAILING DATE OF Extensions of time may be avail after SIX (6) MONTHS from the If the period for reply specified a If NO period for reply is specified Failure to reply within the set or	TORY PERIOD FOR REF THIS COMMUNICATION able under the provisions of 37 CFR mailing date of this communication bove is less than thirty (30) days, a r d above, the maximum statutory peri- extended period for reply will, by sta- later than three months after the ma See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	N. 1 136(a) In no event, howevereply within the statutory mining od will apply and will expire Stute, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be timel mum of thirty (30) days v IX (6) MONTHS from th become ABANDONED	ly filed will be considered timely e mailing date of this comm (35 U S C § 133)	nunication		
1) Responsive to co	mmunication(s) filed on \underline{o}	2 January 2003 .					
2a) This action is FIN	AL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-fin	al.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims	45.40:45						
, 	15-18 is/are pending in the		Fig				
	aim(s) is/are withd	rawn from considera	tion.				
5) Claim(s) is/							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 1</u> —							
7) Claim(s) is/	-						
	e subject to restriction and	d/or election requiren	nent.				
Application Papers	The state of the s						
, ,	objected to by the Exami		II bodha Evan	:			
10) The drawing(s) filed							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
· —	•	Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 13) Acknowledgment i		sign priority under 35	115 (5 110(a)	(d) or (f)			
		ngii phonty under 33	0.3.C. § 119(a)-	-(d) 01 (1).			
a) All b) Some		ente houe hoon roosi	vad				
	pies of the priority docume			n Na			
	pies of the priority docume						
applicat	e certified copies of the p on from the International stailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		age		
14) Acknowledgment is	made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional ap	oplication).		
·	n of the foreign language						
15) Acknowledgment is							
Attachment(s)							
	PTO-892) ent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ment(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5)	-	PTO-413) Paper No(s). atent Application (PTO-1			

Application/Control Number: 09/750,896

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ayres et al (6,404,333).
- 3. Ayres discloses the claimed invention except for the injected molded dial formed directly onto the backplate. With regards to the molded dial formed directly onto the backplate, this is a process limitation in a product claim; the presence of process limitations on product claims, which product does not otherwise patentably distinguish over prior art, cannot impart patentability to the product. In re Stephens 145 USPQ 656 (CCPA 1965). With regards to the molded mask and molded backplate molded as a single component, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time he invention was made to do so, since it has been held that forming in one piece an article which has formerly been formed in two pieces and put together involves only routine skill in the art. *Howard v. Detroit Stove Works*, 150 U.S. (1893). Furthermore, Ayers discloses in column 5, lines 38-41, that the display can be formed as an integral unit.
 - An appliqué (claim 11);

Application/Control Number: 09/750,896 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

 The injected molded dial comprises a clear polycarbonate (col. 5, lines 34-41);

- A lens (98);
- Light emitting diode backlighting (42)
- butadiene styrene, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use such a material since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

 Furthermore, the abovementioned material is well known in the injection molding art for it's light weight (please see cited prior art Nomura et al);
- With regards to claim 15, column 5, lines 38-42 discloses the display being formed by being molded as an integral unit. Inherently this would require, while being molded, to have each layer individually formed to form an integral unit, thus encompassing a first and second "shot" of injection molding for initial manufacturing of these components.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anabel M Ton whose telephone number is (703) 305-1084. The examiner can normally be reached on 08:00-16:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 09/750,896

Art Unit: 2875

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9318 for regular communications and (703) 872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Anabel M Ton Examiner Art Unit 2875

AMT March 10, 2003

Marie Committee Control Contro

Page 4